# MINUTES WHITLEY COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION

# REGULAR MEETING June 16, 2021

7:00 p.m.

# Whitley County Government Center Lower Level, Meeting Room A/B

MEMBERS	PRESENT ABSENT	STAFF
Michael Bemis	X	Nathan Bilger
Dane Drew	X	Mark Cullnane
Brent Emerick	X	
Theresa Green	X	LEGAL COUNSEL
Thor Hodges	X	Elizabeth Deckard
Kim Kurtz-Seslar	X	
Joe Wolf	X	NONVOTING ADVISOR
Brad Wolfe	X	Absent
Doug Wright	X	

### **AUDIENCE MEMBERS**

The audience list of in-person and electronic guests is attached below.

## **CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL**

Mr. Hodges called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Bilger read the roll call with members present and absent listed above.

#### **ADMINISTRATION OF OATH**

Ms. Deckard administered the oath to audience members wishing to speak.

### CONSIDERATION OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES

The minutes for the March, April, and May 2021 meetings were presented for consideration. Due to the limited review time prior to the meeting, Mr. Hodges paused the meeting to allow members time to review the documents.

After the review time, Mr. Drew made a motion to accept the March 2021 minutes as presented; Mr. Emerick seconded. Mr. Wolfe asked to include more detail about the discussion with Mr. Enrietto regarding CFO building setbacks; he had sent Mr. Bilger the revision earlier in the day. Mr. Bilger stated he reviewed the recording and that Mr. Wolfe's addition was accurate. Mr. Drew amended his motion to include the proposed revision; Ms. Kurtz-Seslar seconded the amended motion. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote.

Mr. Drew made a motion to accept the April 2021 minutes as presented; Mr. Wolfe seconded. Motion passed 8-0-1 by roll call vote, with Ms. Green abstaining because she was absent.

Mr. Wright noted a correction on page six of the May 2021 minutes that "Mr. Hodges asked the Commission if it had additional questions for Mr. Hodges" should be "...for Mr. Konkle." He

1

then made a motion to approve the minutes with the correction; Mr. Wolfe seconded. Motion passed 8-0-1 by roll call vote, with Mr. Emerick abstaining because he was absent.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Hodges announced that the agenda items would be taken out of order from the agenda so the petitions could be more efficiently handled.

# 21-W-SUBD-11, Primary approval, "Replat of Lots 17A and 18A of the Partial Replat of Magic Hills Estates"

Travis and Amanada Himes, owners of the subject property, requested plat approval for a "Replat of Lots 17A and 18A of the Partial Replat of Magic Hills Estates." Proposed was a lot line revision to two lots in the Magic Hills subdivision in Thorncreek Township. The property was zoned AG, Agricultural, and contained approximately 4.314 acres.

Mr. Bilger presented the staff report. He stated that petitioners requested approval of a replat of the petitioner's property in order to shift the lot line between the two lots and equalize the pond frontage for each. He reviewed aerial images of the subject property and surrounding area, and the proposed plat. He mentioned that the Recorder had requested a modification to the title to be better indexed in their system. He also stated that the existing covenants would still carry forward with the replat. He concluded with the staff's suggested conditions.

Mr. Bilger asked the Commission if it had questions for him. Hearing none, Mr. Hodges asked the petitioner or its representative to address the Commission.

Petitioner Travis Himes, 1162 E. Kenny's Court, stated that he was requesting the replat to increase the amount of shoreline on Lot 17 since he was building a new home there and investing money in the property and pond.

Mr. Hodges asked the Commission if it had questions for Mr. Himes.

Hearing no further questions for Mr. Michel from the Commission, Mr. Hodges opened the public hearing.

No comments were heard. No on-line comments were heard. Mr. Hodges closed the public hearing and called for a motion or discussion.

Mr. Drew made a motion to approve 21-W-SUBD-11 with the following conditions listed in the staff report:

- 1. The subdivision name will need to be reviewed prior to recordation.
- 2. Secondary plat approval delegated to the Plan Commission Staff.

Mr. Wright seconded. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote.

### 21-W-SUBD-12, Primary approval, "Wes-Lynn Estates"

Dustin and Abigail Stewart, future owners of the subject property, requested plat approval for "Wes-Lynn Estates," a one-lot subdivision located on the north side of 100 South, about 2,000' east of 900 West in Section 8 of Richland Township. The property was zoned AG, Agricultural, and contained approximately 5.97 acres.

Mr. Bilger presented the staff report. He noted that this subdivision was contingent upon a proposed land swap in order to acquire the required road frontage. He reviewed aerial images of the subject property and surrounding area, the proposed plat, and an overlay of the plat on aerial photography. He concluded with the staff's suggested conditions.

Mr. Bilger asked the Commission if it had questions for him. Hearing none, Mr. Hodges asked the petitioner or its representative to address the Commission.

Kevin Michel, 4242 S. 700 East, stated that he was the petitioner's representative. He presented the Commission with large copies of the plat for its review. He confirmed the need for a land swap.

Mr. Hodges asked the Commission if it had questions for Mr. Michel.

Hearing no further questions for Mr. Michel from the Commission, Mr. Hodges opened the public hearing.

Fred Fisher, 8750 W. 100 South, stated that he had just built a nearby home and expressed concern about the lack of privacy if a new home was built. He also was concerned about the possibility of multiple homes being built.

Petitioner Dustin Stewart, 8648 W. 100 South, gave details on the location of the proposed dwelling, noting that the septic system would be north of the house and there was a hill between the location and Mr. Fisher's home.

No additional comments were heard. No on-line comments were heard. Mr. Hodges closed the public hearing and called for a motion or discussion.

Mr. Bemis made a motion to approve 21-W-SUBD-12 with the following conditions listed in the staff report:

- 1. The splits constituting the land swap will occur prior to plat recordation. That part being transferred to the Lawrence & Michelle Stewart Trust property must be combined to avoid creating a landlocked parcel.
- 2. Secondary plat approval delegated to the Plan Commission Staff.

Mr. Drew seconded. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote.

## **OLD BUSINESS**

### 21-W-SUBD-10, Primary plat approval, "Cherry Lane"

Brandt Barnett, owner of the subject property, requested an approval for a two-lot subdivision to be named Cherry Lane. Part of Lot 3 of Nicodemus Subdivision would be replatted as part of the proposed subdivision. The proposed plat was located on the north side of Burd Road, about ½ mile west of 450 East in Sections 19 and 30 of Smith Township. The property was zoned AG, Agricultural, and contained approximately 21.33 acres.

Mr. Bilger presented the staff report. He stated that the case had been continued from the previous meeting due to insufficient public hearing notice. He noted the previously platted area of the Nicodemus Subdivision and summarized the zoning standards. He reviewed aerial images of the subject property and surrounding area, the preliminary plat, and an overlay of the plat on aerial photography. He stated that since the written staff report was prepared, the Health

Department soil testing requirements had been satisfied. He suggested that being a two-lot subdivision, covenants and restrictions may be advisable, though it would be up to the petitioner. He concluded with the staff's suggested conditions.

Mr. Bilger asked the Commission if it had questions for him. Hearing none, Mr. Hodges asked the petitioner or its representative to address the Commission.

Kevin Michel stated that he was the petitioner's representative. He presented the Commission with large copies of the plat for its review. He briefly reviewed the proposed plat, clarifying the dimensions noted in the staff's conditions.

Mr. Hodges asked the Commission if it had questions for Mr. Michel.

Hearing no questions for Mr. Michel from the Commission, Mr. Hodges opened the public hearing.

No comments were heard. No on-line comments were heard. Mr. Hodges closed the public hearing and called for a motion or discussion.

Ms. Kurtz-Seslar made a motion to approve 21-W-SUBD-10 with the following conditions from the staff report:

- 1. Consider covenants and restrictions
- 2. Clarify dimensions
- 3. Secondary plat approval delegated to the Plan Commission Staff

Mr. Emerick seconded. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote.

# 21-W-SUBD-6, Primary approval, "Arrowhead Pointe"

Vision Homz LLC requested preliminary plat approval of a subdivision proposed to be known as Arrowhead Pointe. The subject property was located on the west side of Sheldon Road, 1/2 mile north of Anderson Road in Section 16 of Smith Township and is zoned LR, Lake Residential. The proposal had been continued from two prior meetings to address various concerns.

Mr. Bilger presented an updated staff report. He stated that the number of lots proposed had been reduced from the previous proposal and it was now down to 50 lots. He reviewed the revised minimum lot sizes and areas, noting the average lot size had increased to about 31,300 sq. ft. He then clarified the history of zoning for the property, noting that the Horse Shoe Bay property was rezoned to L-1 in 1988 but that did not include the subject property. Instead, the subject property was rezoned to L-1 in April 2002, and he briefly summarized the L-1 standards that were in effect in 2002.

He then presented aerial images of the subject property and the previous and revised plats. He presented a list of issues identified by the Commission at the previous meeting, with a rough summary of changes that the petitioner had proposed to address each issue. The following is that list and notes:

- Dealing with Lakeview Drive being on the subject property
  - That area may have been removed from this plat, or the petitioner could give more information
- Updated capacity letter for the proposed number of lots
  - Updated letter for 50 lots was provided

- Concern about lot size
  - o Average lot size increased from 23,613 sq. ft. to 31,306 sq. ft.
- Concern about lot number
  - Lot count decreased from 63 to 50 lots
- Concern about adequate egress
  - o Same number of egress points to Sheldon Road. A third stub street added.

Mr. Bilger then reviewed the staff's suggested conditions of approval, which had been modified since the previous meeting and could need to be amended to address any new information brought up during the meeting. As presented, these were:

- 1. The undesignated area in the northeast must be designated as common area, block, or similar use, or be removed from the plat.
- 2. Block lengths be compliant with §504 of the Subdivision Code.
- 3. A through road be designed and constructed to Local road specifications.
- 4. Maintenance easements must be obtained for the off-site vacated drain tile, if that tile is used
- 5. Covenant setbacks match zoning standards as may be applicable
- 6. The Commission may discuss restricting the construction of two-story homes along Lots 1 to 8 due to the topography
- 7. The following shall be recorded as written commitments and be incorporated into the subdivision Covenants and Restrictions as applicable:
  - a. The number of recorded lots is limited to 42 until written verification of available sewer capacity to serve the remaining lots in the primary plat. [Mr. Bilger explained that this item would be revised according to the new sewer capacity letter presented earlier in the day.]
  - b. There shall be no boat ramp, swimming beach, pier, or other direct lake access utilizing the 50'± lake frontage. Other activities not involving lake access, such as fishing from the natural bank or construction of a lakeshore boardwalk, could be allowable pursuant to permitting requirements.
  - c. No construction traffic will use the northern entrance from Sheldon Road
  - d. Construction traffic will be limited to 20mph north from the intersection of Anderson Road
  - e. Petitioner to commit \$10,000 to entrance improvements to Sheldon Road/Anderson Road intersection
  - f. Petitioner to commit to escrow \$10,000 for surface paving post-construction
  - g. Petitioner to work with County to widen the Sheldon Road/Anderson Road intersection
  - h. Petitioner to pay for pavement failure on Sheldon Road due to construction activity, as determined by pre- and post-construction evaluation
- 8. Secondary plat approval delegated to the Plan Commission Staff, unless sureties are proposed.

Mr. Bilger asked the Commission if it had questions for him. Hearing no questions for staff from the Commission, Mr. Hodges asked the petitioner or its representative to address the Commission.

Rob Kruger, 200 E. Main Street, Ste. 1000, Fort Wayne, representative of the petitioner, came forward to speak. He outlined the changes made since the previous submission. He committed that the maximum number of lots would be 50, even if there were any street configuration changes. He stated that the staff's proposed conditions, with the change of 42 lots to 50 lots, were acceptable. He stated that the school district and others had submitted letters of support and that the petitioner was not seeking any waivers or variances. Mr. Kruger then quoted case law and state statute that state that the Plan Commission shall approve a subdivision request that meets the requirements of the subdivision code.

Mr. Kruger then asked if the Commission had any questions for him. Mr. Wolfe asked about how Lakeview Drive would be dealt with. Mr. Kruger stated that the "undesignated" area would still be part of the plat and an easement would be granted to the Association for Lakeview Drive. He added that a dry hydrant, which had been discussed at the previous meeting, would also be located in that area. Mr. Wolf provided some details on the specifications of the hydrant and the positive effect on the fire insurance rating.

Ms. Kurtz-Seslar asked if the case law cited was Tippecanoe v. Sheffield; Mr. Kruger affirmed that it was based on that, though the case law was lengthy. Ms. Kurtz-Seslar asked Mr. Bilger about "concrete standards" reference in the staff report. He stated that specific rules and regulations of the zoning and subdivision codes would be "concrete." She then referenced the first section of Article 5 of the County Subdivision Code, which states generally that a property to be subdivided must meet the requirements of the Comprehensive Plan. She then referred to other cases that state that "safety" was determined to be a concrete standard upon which decisions could be based. Mr. Kruger stated that the zoning and subdivision ordinances, specifications, and standards were written in the interest of safety, and that the County Engineer had provided additional items to address safety that were now included in the proposed list of conditions. He felt that safety had been well addressed.

Mr. Drew asked about the possibility of routing one of the access points to 500 North. Mr. Kruger stated that would not be possible due to the existing mobile home park. He acknowledged that may have been an idea in the past, but it would not be feasible currently. Mr. Wolfe mentioned that the idea was in the minutes of the 1988 rezoning case.

Mr. Hodges asked the Commission if it had additional questions for Mr. Kruger. Hearing no further questions from the Commission, Mr. Hodges summarized the guidelines for speakers. He stated that there would not be specific per speaker time limit if comments were related to new topics. He also stated that the public hearing should be concluded by 8:20pm. He then opened the public hearing.

Kirk Bradtmiller, 4637 N. Sheldon Road, distributed written comments to the Commission. He began by stating that a second access needs to be provided to 550 East and Anderson Road and that the cul-de-sac should not be extended. Mr. Hodges stated that because the topic had been already discussed, so Mr. Bradtmiller would be given five minutes. Mr. Bradtmiller stated that

Sheldon Road already had too many lots on it, exceeding the subdivision regulations. He stated that the intention of Sheldon Road was supposed to be continued to 500 North, but the road was never completed as intended. He then referenced previous approvals from 1988 and 2002, noting that some questions had been brought up in 2002 that were to be discussed during subdivision approval, which was now.

Mr. Bradtmiller referenced comments made in the previous meeting regarding the similarities of limited access of this request with 21-W-REZ-3. He then made comparisons to the maximum number of lots made as a condition in that case, based on the maximum cul-de-sac length standard and the recommendations of Indiana Fire Code. He continued with comments on the standards of upgrading Sheldon Road to a Local Road standard, the implications if the cul-de-sac was treated as permanent, and that there was no formal traffic study done.

He questioned the feasibility of getting a "sewer lift study" done in the previous 30 days and stated that there needs to be proof of the capacity. He repeated the Health Department's statement that no septic systems would be permitted if sewer was not available and questioned what would happen if more than 42 lots were developed. He stated that the developer should not have a monopoly over Blue Lake sewer by holding the available sewer capacity. He expressed concerns about increased rates due to the subdivision development, and he stated that the developer should pay for any costs.

Mr. Bradtmiller stated that zoning performance standards would prohibit blown trash during development. He stated that trees along the perimeter could be effective but have not been discussed. He also suggested increased setbacks be required along the shared property lines. He said that in combination with the recommended condition for no two-story houses, his concerns about nuisances and privacy would be addressed.

Mr. Bradtmiller began discussing drainage; Mr. Hodges reminded him that since drainage was not changed with this revision that comments be kept only to anything new. Mr. Bradtmiller stated that he had met with Todd Gross and had identified a source of existing drainage problems. He stated that the neighbors were not against change and concluded by summarizing their requests: that a second access be installed to 550 East or Anderson Road; that the Sheldon Road cul-de-sac be left permanent; that there be no more than 42 homes; that the developer cover their fair cost of sewer construction; that the land owner fix the existing drainage issues; and that setbacks and tree barrier be required to address trash and privacy concerns. He stated that he had provided "concrete standards" to deny the plat.

Don Shearer, 4658 N. 550 East, came forward to speak; Ms. Deckard administered the oath to him as he had not previously been sworn in. He stated that he desired to correct and clarify some of the statements already made. His family started development in 1965, building Shady Grove Mobile Home Park to provide 50 homes for those who needed housing. He then described the inadequacy of connecting Shady Grove to Horse Shoe Bay, and the additional access point to the east that not been completed due to the County Commissioners requiring too much for the road. He then described the construction of Sheldon Road and how it exceeded the road standards and was likely better than any connecting road. He stated that the road was inspected, accepted, and dedicated without any restrictions or difference from other county roads.

He continued to describe how he had worked with IDEM during the development of Horse Shoe Bay to address drainage going into the lake. He also stated that the covenants and restrictions require that the maintenance of the subdivision was the Homeowners Association's responsibility after 75% of the lots were sold. He questioned whether the Association was following through with their responsibilities. He stated that the standards of the County were met at the time of development.

Mr. Shearer discussed the need for housing and students in the Smith-Green school system. He stated that he was told that farmers needed to release land for development to grow the school, and that he took two years to work with the developers and Blue Lake Conservancy District to create a good project. He stated that this property had been in the BLCD for 30 years, so it was well suited for development. He stated that the developer had put in a lot of work trying to meet the regulations and standards. He then asked if the Commission had any questions for him.

Mr. Drew asked why Mr. Shearer did not develop a Section 2 of Horse Shoe Bay instead of the proposed subdivision. Mr. Shearer stated that he was 77 years old and he felt too old to be able to see the project through to completion. He continued by saying that he felt the subdivision would be a benefit for the community. As an example, he stated that discussions with BLCD indicated that more homes would lower rates, not raise them. He also stated that he lived just 40 rods west of the property, so it was his community too, and he does not want to destroy it.

Mr. Drew rephrased his question as to why Mr. Shearer wouldn't construct access as part of a potential Horse Shoe Bay 2 instead of Arrowhead Pointe. Mr. Shearer stated he did not have an answer for that. He then read several paragraphs from the Comprehensive Plan introduction, emphasizing that "decisionmakers should recognize that determining compliance is not a black and white issue" and that some decisions may meet some recommendations and not others.

Mr. Hodges asked that any remaining speakers limit their time to two minutes each. While speakers were moving to the podium, Mr. Bilger read the following electronic comment received:

Sherry Elward, PO Box 8414, Fort Wayne: "Why not sell more land for an access to Anderson Road."

Marquette Brown, 5936 E. 500 North, thanked the Commission for their work and stated that he was not trying to blame anyone for past mistakes and was not against change. He stated he was trying to stand up for what they believe, but not all of their questions had not been answered.

Ronda Salge, 5465 N. 650 East, stated that she agreed that there was a need for growth, but all of the planning documents should be near municipalities. She said that she had spoken with the landowners and buyers and that there would be a new subdivision near Churubusco coming soon. She stated that "ministerial act" means that a decision is required and not put off. She stated that she found "concrete standards" to be defined as "whatever an agency will consider when making decisions." The Comprehensive Plan should be considered under §102.3, because it "promotes equitable handling of plans."

She then directed questions to Mr. Bilger. She asked what would happen if the sewer capacity of BLCD had been filled before this subdivision had been built out. Mr. Bilger replied that if that occurred, it would be a matter between the developer and BLCD. He suggested if regulation was necessary that capacity certifications or tap fees could be required with each building permit. Ms. Salge asked if the developer would then have a monopoly on sewer capacity. Mr. Bilger replied that the provided information only states there was at least sufficient capacity for the proposed subdivision. Ms. Salge asked how soon the project must start and how soon it would need to be completed. Mr. Bilger replied that the code provided a two-year window to approve

the first secondary plat, after which there would ongoing rolling approvals for any additional sections. Ms. Salge suggested that the change in Comprehensive Plan to being character-based instead of land use-based could open this area up for commercial development. She concluded with a request that a deed restriction be required regarding limiting lake access instead of just placing a restriction in the covenants.

Kevin Michel, engineer for the petitioner, stated that if this development was proposed to the east, nearer the main lift station, there would be no sewer capacity issues. He stated that the rest of the lake still had plenty of capacity available and just the location at the west end of the lake had capacity limitations.

Devon Zeigler, 3395 N. 650 East, expressed concerns about the increased traffic on 650 East, especially construction traffic. He stated that he agreed kids are needed to keep Smith-Green schools in operation.

Wayne Gibson, petitioner, came forward to speak; Ms. Deckard administered the oath to him as he had not previously been sworn in. Mr. Gibson stated that the hydraulic study showed a total of \$855,000 of improvements needed now whether the proposed development occurs or not. There would be an additional \$30,000 of work needed with this development. He reiterated that the study confirmed capacity availability for 50 homes. He complimented Mr. Bradtmiller's in-depth research. He asked if the Commission had any questions for him.

Mr. Wolfe asked Mr. Gibson to review the conditions from the Highway Department. Mr. Gibson stated that the items are in the packet. He summarized the discussions of a speed limit, why Sheldon Road had not been maintained, a second access, and intersection improvements.

Donna Fiedler, 4799 N. Sheldon Road, stated that she had lived there since 1992 and that she could understand why new residents would want to live there. She stated that she researched properties on the south side of Blue Lake and most lots were at least two acres in area. She requested that the subdivision lots be at least two acres as well to keep it compatible with the existing homes.

Doug Thompson, 4150 N. Sheldon Road, stated that the distance from Anderson Road to first entrance of the subdivision was a half mile, and there were 12 kids under 10 years old in the first three homes in Horse Shoe Bay. He stated he was unsure about the wording and effectiveness of the commitments and conditions.

Don Shearer spoke regarding the existing septic systems along Sheldon Road and the condition of the road despite the water coming from the at-grade septic systems. He stated that the proposed subdivision would include places for kids to play in addition to the lots. He said that they had tried hard to balance all of the different aspects of development, but even so there may things that might still not be done perfectly.

Hearing no more comments in-person, Mr. Bilger read the following comments from electronic participants:

Sherry Elward, PO Box 8414, Fort Wayne: "What happens if there is a fire near the front of the neighborhood and roads are blocked with fire trucks, there is no other way out if another emergency occurs ie heart attack etc. There must be an additional exit for safety."

Jeremy Knecht, 4168 N. Sheldon Road: "why couldn't he answer the question of building phase 2 of shady Grove. But wants this to be built."

Jeremy Knecht: "that's not 100%" [Mr. Bilger stated he was unsure of the reference.]

Jeremy Knecht: "I've built roads for over 20 years. Sheldon rd. Needs to be repaired."

Hearing no more comments, Mr. Hodges closed the public hearing and called for Commission discussion.

Mr. Drew stated that his concerns were related to the access points. If the proposal was just an extension of Horse Shoe Bay, it would be ok, but it was unfair to route another subdivision through them. If the access was changed, he would have no problem with it, and it would solve a lot of the issues brought up.

Ms. Kurtz-Seslar agreed with Mr. Drew's comments about access. She continued that she felt that the conditions and commitments presented would be concrete standards for meeting safety. She stated that providing a second access was still paramount.

Mr. Bemis stated that he felt that the Commission had had three months to consider the proposal, and they needed to take action, either for or against.

He then made a motion to approve 21-W-SUBD-6 with the staff's suggested conditions; Ms. Green seconded. Mr. Hodges called for any further discussion.

Mr. Wolfe requested that giving access to Lakeview Drive be added to the conditions so that the road would not become a problem in the future. He also requested a requirement to provide a dry hydrant be added to the conditions.

Mr. Bemis amended his motion to include Mr. Wolfe's additional conditions; Ms. Green seconded the amended motion.

Mr. Hodges called for a roll call vote. Mr. Bilger read the roll, and the motion passed 6-3, with Mr. Wright, Mr. Drew, and Ms. Kurtz-Seslar voting no.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

Having no further business, Mr. Hodges declared the meeting adjourned at 8:56 p.m.

### **GUEST LIST**

1. Patty Schmidt	4272 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco
	4272 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco
3. Angie Roussel	4769 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco
4. Sharon Shearer	4658 N. 550 West, Churubusco
5. Troy Horn	3750 E. Burd Road, Columbia City
6. Rhonda Nightingale	4565 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco
7. Sally King	6324 E. Edgewood Drive, Churubusco
8. Joel Ramsey	6011 E. Anderson Road, Churubusco
9. Marquette Brown	5936 E. 500 North, Churubusco
10. Kirk Bradtmiller	4637 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco
11. Jeremy Miller	4495 900 West-92, Pierceton
12. Aiwen Liu	4637 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco

13. David Konkle	120 S. Main Street, Churubusco	
14. Wayne Gibson	•	
15. Easton Mossman		
16. Travis Himes	1162 E. Kenny's Court, Columbia City	
17. Kevin Michel		
18. Christopher Bachelder	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19. John Hile		
20. Devon Zeigler	·	
21. Melissa Staples		
22. Ronda Salge		
23. Bob & Donna Fiedler		
24. Doug Thompson	4150 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco	
25. Cindy Thompson		
26. Heather Bowlin		
27. Mark Pence	5711 N. 700 East, Churubusco	
28. Don Shearer	4656 N. 550 East, Churubusco	
29. Carla Horn	3750 E. Burd Road, Columbia City	
30. Mary Lou Bennett	3615 E. Burd Road, Columbia City	
31. Dan Nightingale		
32. Jeff Crabill		
33. Lee Ann Herron	4248 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco	
34. Anthony Herron, Sr	4248 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco	
35. Brian Bradtmiller	4715 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco	
36. Karen Bradtmiller	4715 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco	
37. Nick Seslar	5138 E. 700 South, Columbia City	
38. Nancy Adams	6561 E. McGuire Road, Churubusco	
39. Fred Fisher	8750 W. 100 South, Larwill	
40. Deb Boxell	8750 W. 100 South, Larwill	
41. Dustin Stewart	4648 W. 100 South, Larwill	
42. Abigail Stewart	4648 W. 100 South, Larwill	
43. Rob Kruger	200 E. Main Street, Ste. 1000, Fort Wayne	
44. Adam King	4697 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco	
45. Sonya Emerick	5865 E. State Road 14, Columbia City	
GUEST LIST-ELECTRONIC		
46. Connie Bradley	6125 E. Crescent Court, Churubusco	
47. Sherry Elward		
48. Lauren England		
49. Michelle Eyer		
50. Kurt Kehmeyer		
51. Robert Kehmeyer		
52. Judy Kehmeyer	8375 S. 600 East, Columbia City	
53. April King		
54. Tiffany Knecht		
55. Jeremy Knecht	4168 N. Sheldon Rd, Churubusco	
56. Anthony Patten		

57. Bart Roussel	
58. Taylor Williams	2915 W. State Blvd, Fort Wayne
59. Jodi Fester	6170 E. Crescent Court, Churubusco
60. Tara Clark	6360 E. Edgewood Drive, Churubusco
61. LeeAnn Holmes	6420 E. McGuire Road, Churubusco
62. Patricia Kehmeyer	8411 S. 600 East, Columbia City
63. Bridgid Patten	4065 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco
64. Bob Tartaglia	4286 N. Sheldon Road, Churubusco