MINUTES WHITLEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS REGULAR MEETING July 23, 2024

7:30 P.M.

Whitley County Government Center Meeting Room A/B

MEMBERS	PRESENT ABSENT	STAFF
Kelley Sheiss	X	Nathan Bilger
Danny Wilkinson	X	Brent Bockelman
Doug Wright	X	
Joe Wolf	X	LEGAL COUNSEL
Jack Green	X	Elizabeth Deckard

AUDIENCE MEMBERS

The list of audience members, in-person and electronic, is attached to these minutes. The signed guest list is kept on record.

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Mr. Wilkinson called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Mr. Bockelman read the roll call; the members present and absent are listed above.

CONSIDERATION OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES

The minutes for the June 25, 2024 regular meeting were presented for review. Mr. Green made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Ms. Sheiss seconded the motion. The motion passed by a vote of 5-0.

ADMINISTRATION OF OATH

Ms. Deckard provided the oath to the audience members wishing to speak.

OLD BUSINESS

24-W-SE-9

Jason and Jennifer Esterline, owners of the subject property, are requesting special exception approval for an amendment to a previously approved processing of agricultural products not produced on site use on the property located at 8324 W. State Road 14, in Section 5 of Cleveland Township.

Mr. Bilger provided a summary of the staff report. He discussed the previous approval as 22-W-SE-7 for agricultural products not produced on site and included an accessory taproom and seating. He explained the modifications to include hours of operation changed to 11am to 10pm, increase seating area by about 1,000 sq. ft., buffer on the west property line with fence instead of

1

landscaping, 8 sq ft sign area, and 30 parking spaces. The previous conditions of approval were reviewed as follows:

The following are the conditions of approval from 22-W-SE-7:

- 1. The Special Exception is granted for the applicant and is non-transferable without Board approval.
- 2. The Special Exception is granted as presented.
- 3. The area of the interior taproom/consumer sales area will not exceed the proposed 416 sq. ft.
- 4. The number and design of parking spaces will comply with the parking code. An INDOT permit, if required, must be obtained.
- 5. Noise from this special exception will not exceed 50 dB as measured at adjacent residences.
- 6. Any requirements of the Health Department for the septic system, food operation, etc. will be met.
- 7. Hours of operation for the taproom will be 4 P.M.-10 P.M. Friday, 11 A.M.-10 P.M. Saturday, and 11 A.M.-8 P.M. on Sunday.
- 8. Freestanding signage will be limited to one non-illuminated sign of not more than 6 sq. ft. in area per face.
- 9. As growth occurs, an alternative location for the use should be identified.
- 10. Special Exception is in effect for three years from the date of approval unless reapproved. Explanation was given that the amendment process does open review of the complete Special Exception. Aerial views were shown for reference of the operation and surrounding area. Review criteria were discussed and suggested conditions were displayed as follows.
 - 1. The Special Exception is granted for the applicant and is non-transferable without Board approval.
 - 2. The Special Exception is granted as presented.
 - 3. The area of the interior taproom/consumer sales area will not exceed 350 sq. ft. An additional seating area of up to 1,250 sq. ft. is permissible.
 - 4. The number and design of parking spaces will comply with the parking code. Specifications An INDOT permit, if required, must be obtained.
 - 5. Noise from this special exception will not exceed 50 dB as measured at adjacent residences.
 - 6. Any requirements of the Health Department for the septic system, food operation, etc. will be met.
 - 7. Hours of operation for the taproom must be discussed. Staff suggests:
 - 4 P.M.-10 P.M. on Fridays
 - 11 A.M.-10 P.M. on Saturdays and holidays
 - 11 A.M.-8 P.M. on Sundays

One additional weekday per calendar week, using Sunday hours.

- 8. Any limitations on events, particularly those outdoors, should be discussed.
- 9. Freestanding signage will be limited to one non-illuminated sign of not more than 8 sq. ft. in area per face.
- 10. Maintain the 8' privacy fence along the west property line.
- 11. The Special Exception expires July 23, 2026, unless reapproved.
- 12. Additional conditions to be added based on discussions and input from the hearing.

Clarification was made that the seating area, sign, and parking were in excess of what was approved in the original petition.

Jennifer and Jason Esterline, 8324 W. State Road 14, addressed the Board. She presented the proposed submitted amendment. She discussed the review criteria being met and inspections met. She stated that they had a 6-month environmental study done in coordination with the local law enforcement, and used equipment suggested by the Sheriff Department. The also have equipment mounted on site to monitor the sound levels. They have proactively had on-duty Sheriff deputies monitor their band events. She stated that they will only have live music on Friday and Saturday nights. Discussion was made regarding the sound level generated by their operation compared to other agriculture operations and equipment. She stated that they are an operating farm. The sign and landscaping were discussed. She explained that inspection and approval was acquired from INDOT with an additional 14 ft. of asphalt at the entrance and they have already gotten permits to install the new entrance. She stated that they will be using Niblock to do this installation. She explained that they have increased the seating to include a family seating area of about 40 people. She explained that they only plan on being open five days a week. With holidays falling on different days of the week, they were not able to determine exactly which days would be best. She explained that they will not be moving to a different location. They will be staying in the location that they are now. She expressed that the farm location is a great way to immerse people into the agriculture community. She discussed the additional revenue ability to the farm and added growth to the County. Discussion was made regarding the fundraising that they do for non-profit organizations and events that they hold. She stated that they want to be an example for other small farms in the state. She asked that the Board base their zoning decision on facts and not emotion. She explained that they want to do this properly and a positive example for others and the community. She shared a letter of support from another local business owner. She discussed other agritourism locations in the state and publications that highlight their business. Jason discussed the parking on their location. He also discussed the added seating in the building. He stated that will be staying in this location to not take away from the farmhouse brewery idea. Jennifer stated that they have grown more than anticipated and appreciate the following they have. She stated that the facts are that the follow the zoning rules and guidelines, and proactively go beyond what is required.

Ms. Sheiss asked about any written documentation of the environmental study done with the sheriff's office. Jennifer stated that she could provide that. Discussion was made about the deputies that monitored. Ms. Sheiss stated that she had talked with the Sheriff's Department twice and they had no record of the environmental study or the monitoring. Jennifer stated that they did the study themselves and had deputies that they hired monitor. Ms. Sheiss shared her concern regarding the Sheriff's department not knowing about this and that the department does not own any equipment to measure to sound levels, and if they did, they wouldn't know how to use it. She suggested getting with the Sheriff's Department for clarification. Jennifer referenced communication from Officer Maddox that stated he would be sending out two deputies to their location. Further discussion was made regarding the law enforcements involvement in the study and monitoring. It was explained that the officers did a visual check of the petitioners' equipment. Ms. Sheiss asked about the coordination with the law enforcement on the study itself. Jennifer stated that they did the study and monitoring themselves and kept record of the readings but had talked to the Sheriff's office early on. Ms. Sheiss stated her concern with the conflicting information, and she would like to know the point person on the environmental study and the documentation. Discussion was made regarding paying for the deputies to be at their events.

Paul Sheffler, 4680 W. 500 North, addressed the Board in support of the petition. He explained the economic benefits in the community and the local suppliers to the local business. He also discussed people visiting the brewery from other areas of the state, including Fort Wayne, Indianapolis, Warsaw, and Mishawaka. He discussed the funds raised for local non-profit organizations.

Eric Hackworth, 6105 S. 950 West, addressed the Board in support of the petition. He explained that he had just acquired property in the area and was excited to have them so close. He discussed the farm experience of the business and the positive effect that it has on the visitors and families.

Tom Smith, 8300 W. State Road 14, addressed the Board in opposition of the petition. He discussed the large number of people that visit the small property. He also discussed the driveway location and safety concerns. He discussed an event where vehicles were being turned around at the drive, to be parked at the school, and shuttled back to the property.

Charlotte Terhaar, 8325 W. State Road 14, addressed the Board in opposition to the petition. She asked how the petitioners could be asking for an amendment to the special exception when they are meeting the requirements from the first approval. She stated that the parking spaces were only marked after the last meeting, and she didn't notice any additional ADA spaces. She discussed an event that included five bands. The deputy there was directing traffic to park at the school. Discussion was made about them being open other days of the week than had been approved. She discussed the condition of approval at the first approval that stated as growth occurs, an alternative location for the use should be identified. She also stated that other vendors were being brought in. Signage was discussed. She stated that they are already not following the guidelines set forth yet asking for approval for expansion. She also added that an entertainment permit is required for bands and live music. She does not believe that the state permitting has been acquired for the location.

Jenniffer Esteline, 8324 W. State Road 14, stated that they do have the required permits needed for their operation. She also stated that they do have INDOT approval for their drive. She also explained that she and Jason were the drivers of the shuttles, and they are licensed and insured. She explained that she also has a non-profit, Esterline Farms, that has events on the property with the business not being open. Discussion was made about open for public and private events pertaining to hours of operation. Discussion was made regarding the 2025 expiration date on the existing approval.

Mr. Wilkinson reviewed the suggested conditions. Discussion was made regarding the number of days of operation per week.

Ms. Sheiss discussed the operation. She stated her appreciation the success of the business and that South Whitley needs all the bright spots it can, and it is happening. She also stated her concerns regarding details and mixed messages. She stated that she felt the existing conditions of the original approval should be met before revisions be made. If it is as successful as it has been, people will continue to visit.

Mr. Wilkinson stated that this is a family friendly operation but seems to be in the wrong location. He stated that this is in a residential area of the AG zone. He stated that he had visited the business

and had to assist cars maneuver in the parking lot. It is not big enough to handle the great job they are doing. He feels that there is not enough space to accommodate the large groups of people.

Mr. Green made a motion to approve petition 24-W-SE-9 with staff recommended conditions. Seconded by Mr. Wolf. The motion was denied by a vote of 2-3 with Mr. Wright, Mr. Wilkinson, and Ms. Sheiss voting against.

Mr. Wright made a motion to deny petition 24-W-SE-9. Seconded by Ms. Sheiss. The motion to deny was approved by a vote of 3-2 with Mr. Green and Mr. Wolf voting against.

NEW BUSINESS

24-W-VAR-12

Dwight and Patricia Stetzel, owners of the subject property, are requesting development standard variances of the required setbacks for a parcel split of the property located on the west side of 200 East, 1/2 mile south of 800 South, in Section 24 of Washington Township.

Mr. Bilger presented a summary of the staff report for the petition. He explained that the petitioner wanted to split the parcel to lease and sell the grain bins and scale house. He stated that the plat had been approved by the Plan Commission pending the BZA's approval of the variance petition. The Variance requests were noted to be for setback encroachment of the scale house shed, grain leg, and pole building. Aerial views and proposed plat were provided for reference and Review criteria was discussed.

Ryan Peppler, 3490 N. Etna Road, addressed the Board as the representative of the petitioner, and explained the proposed variances for the new parcel. He explained that they minimized the encroachment as best they could.

Mr. Wilkinson asked if anyone else wished to speak for or against the case. Hearing none, he closed the public hearing and asked for further discussion.

With there being no conditions of approval, Mr. Wilkinson asked for a vote. 24-W-VAR-12 was approved by a vote of 5-0.

24-W-VAR-14

Shawn & Stephanie Pierce, owners of the subject property, are requesting a development standards variance of the required front and side setbacks for construction of room addition on the property located at 2367 W. 700 North, in Section 6 of Thorncreek Township.

Mr. Bilger presented a summary of the staff report for the petition. He explained that the petitioner wanted to construct a room addition onto their existing dwelling. The proposed front setback was 22'± and side setback was 2'±. Aerial views were provided to show the proposed project and location. Review criteria was discussed.

Stephanie Pierce, 2367 W. 700 North, addressed the Board and discussed her petition. She explained that they would like to construct a new room addition onto their home to add a bedroom and possible bathroom.

Mr. Wilkinson asked if anyone else wished to speak for or against the case. Hearing none, he closed the public hearing and asked for further discussion.

With there being no conditions of approval, Mr. Wilkinson asked for a vote. 24-W-VAR-14 was approved by a vote of 5-0.

24-W-SE-10

Michael and Cindy Merritt, owner of the subject property, is requesting special exception approval for a secondary dwelling unit on the property located at 6665 W. 1000 South, in Section 34 of Cleveland Township.

Mr. Bilger presented the staff report and explained the proposed secondary dwelling unit. This would be for a family member. Aerial views were provided to show the location of the existing building to be converted to the living area. Suggested conditions and review were given.

Michael Merrit, 6665 W. 1000 South, addressed the Board and explained the proposed secondary dwelling for his daughter and her family. He explained that he had been working with the building department and health department to make sure of the requirements.

Mr. Wilkinson asked if anyone else wished to speak for or against the case. Hearing none, he closed the public hearing and asked for further discussion.

Ms. Sheiss made a motion to approve 24-W-SE-10 with the recommended conditions. Mr. Green Seconded the motion. Mr. Wilkinson asked for a vote. 24-W-SE-10 was approved by a vote of 5-0.

24-W-SE-11

Amos Yoder, operator of the business located at 7579 W. 1000 South, in Section 33 of Cleveland Township, is requesting special exception approval for an amendment to a previously approved sawmill use.

Mr. Bilger provided the staff report summary. He stated that this petition was a modification of a lumber products and sawmill special exception 22-W-SE-1, approved in 2022. It was noted that staff did not include lumber products in the previous petition though it was a special exception use at the time. He stated that the nature of the amendment was to revise hours of operation, modify buffering and outdoor storage requirements, and update the site plan. Discussion was made regarding the previous conditions of approval as follows.

The Conditions of Approval for 22-W-SE-1 were as follows:

- 1. The Special Exception is granted for the applicant and is non-transferable without Board approval.
- 2. The Special Exception is granted as presented and per the site plan.
- 3. Outdoor storage of lumber and product be screened from view from the county road with screen trees being planted on the North and East side of the property.
- 4. Hours of operation are limited to 7 A.M. to 7 P.M., Monday-Friday, and 7 A.M to noon, Saturday.
- 5. The use must have ongoing compliance with building and fire codes.

Mr. Bilger explained that the required screen trees have not been planted yet and the hours of operation are looking to be modified. Aerial views were displayed to show the property, surrounding areas, and residences. Reference to the current location compared to the previous site plan was given. Discussion was made about the truck parking, outdoor storage, and noise

concerns. The updated site plan was displayed with details of building uses. The staff suggested conditions were displayed and discussed as follows:

- 1. The Special Exception is granted for the applicant and is non-transferable without Board approval.
- 2. The Special Exception is granted as presented and per the site plan.
- 3. Hours of operation are limited to 6 A.M. to 6 P.M., Monday-Friday, and 6 A.M to noon, Saturday.
- 4. Appropriate screening of the use, parking, and any outdoor storage should be discussed.
- 5. Noise mitigation measures should be discussed.
- 6. Trucks are not to use the county road for backing or maneuvering to the loading docks. Such movements must usually occur on-site.
- 7. The use must have ongoing compliance with building and fire codes.
- 8. Any additional conditions that may be found appropriate based on discussion and hearing input.

Mr. Bilger discussed review criteria. Mitigation of noise and outdoor storage were discussed in more detail. The Comprehensive Plan and the characteristics were discussed.

Amos Jay Yoder, 7579 W. 1000 South, addressed the Board and explained the proposed amendment. He discussed the proposed change in hours of operation to 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM. He explained that he was also building a new residence on the property. He discussed the new driveway and removal of some of the existing trees. He discussed that it was his fault the trees have not been planted. He had proposed installing a fence instead. The neighbors did not want that and told him the tree planting would not help. Discussion was made to allow for ninety days to plant the screen trees. Discussion was made about the trucks coming to the business. It was clarified that there was room on the property for trucks to turn around on the property without having to do so in the roadway. That was the reason for the driveway expansion. Mr. Yoder stated that he was willing to install more insulation material to the building to help with the noise. He also stated that he had pulled the plug on the large overhead door facing to the north to help as well. He stated that he was willing to try hard to make this work. Discussion was made about possibly planting trees and insulating the grinder building. Discussion was made about the outdoor storage of materials. Further discussion was made regarding the location of the tree plantings. He stated that he has also removed the backup beepers from the trucks. However, he could not remove them from the fork trucks for safety reasons. Discussion was made about the tree planting requirements being 1.5-inch shade trees at 30 foot spacing, or six-foot evergreens a 25 foot on center.

Patty and Gary Christman, 7600 W. 1000 South, addressed the Board in opposition. They discussed the previous sawmill operation and conversion to pallet factory in 2018. They discussed the current uses of the different buildings on the property compared to the proposed stated uses. Concerns of visual appearance, noise, and outside storage were explained. They stated their concerns with the approved special exception and the fact that the site plan is different, and the conditions of approval have not been met. Discussion was made regarding the semi-trucks, loading docks, and screening trees. They stated that they had purchased this property over 30 years ago when it was a quiet and peaceful area. Now they feel that the property

value of their home is decreasing because of the operation and noise generated. Mr. Christman explained the noise concern with the wood chipper, stand alone generator, back-up beepers, jake brakes on trucks, and pneumatic nailing. He stated that he feels that Mr. Yoder has good intentions but has turned his house into feeling like living by a factory. He suggested a compromise of 6 months to address the concerns. He discussed that fact that they are now retired and cannot enjoy their property with the amount of noise that is being generated from the operation. Mrs. Christman discussed the amount of material that is being stored outside compared to the images. Further discussion was made comparing a farm to this commercial operation, and the additional buildings not being used for agriculture.

Mr. Wilkinson asked the Christmans about the vibration. It was clarified that they were referencing sound vibration and not actual ground vibration. Mr. Wilkinson asked what they would like for the screening to be if they don't want fencing. Mr. Christman stated that he thought it would need to be something higher to absorb the sound. Further discussion was made about the noise generated by the grinder exhaust being the main issue, along with the diesel generator. Discussion was made regarding the screen trees beginning in the driveway where the original site plan showed. The Christmans stated that the hours of operation were not an issue. It is the noise that keeps them from enjoying their property that is the issue.

Dave Rupley, 10630 S. State Road 5, addressed the Board in support of the petition. He discussed the noise, measuring the noise, and what the noise ordinance is for the County. He discussed that they work hard to keep the property clean.

Bill Leeuw, 8449 S. 350 West, addressed the Board in support of the petition. He discussed the shavings that are generated from the grinder are used in livestock operations. He stated that many local farmers get their shavings there and Mr. Yoder also has donated shavings to the local County 4-H fair. Discussion about the agriculture products from the business was made.

Vernon Myers, 1718 Longwood Ct. Goshen, addressed the Board in support of the petition. He stated that he was an employee of the business. Discussion was made regarding the noise being the main issue and that Mr. Yoder is willing to work on that issue. He stated that he supported Jay, and hope that time would be given for him to work on mitigating the noise.

Patty Christman, 7600 W. 1000 South, addressed the Board. She stated that noise levels have been monitored and those readings were provided. She stated that the sight of the location is a concern as well. She shared her concern of her property value being negatively impacted by having a factory across the road. She discussed the operation only being addressed when the building was being constructed without a permit. Discussion was made about the materials being stored outside and the trucks coming from all over to deliver material.

Mike Merritt, 6665 W. 1000 South, addressed the board in support of the petition. He stated that he drives a truck for the petitioner. He explained that the way the drive is set up now, trucks do not need to maneuver in the road and when he is driving to the location, he is not going fast enough to use the Jake Brake. He also stated that the bedding material is going to many agricultural customers for livestock.

Sonya Emerick, 5865 E. State Road 14, addressed the Board. She stated that she has interest in agriculture, business, and Whitley County growth. She explained that she lives on a highway and hears jake brakes on a regular basis due to the grain trucks going to the elevator. She stated that this is a part of life living in the county. She stated that agriculture makes noise. She didn't feel

that screening should be required for barns, trucks, and loading ramps because they are all things that you would see on any farm. She wanted to defend agriculture and business. She stated that it appears that Mr. Yoder is willing to work to make it better for his neighbors and who are we to tell him how to run his business. She didn't feel that noise is something that should be addressed in the agricultural areas.

Gary and Patty Christman, 7600 W. 1000 South, stated that this operation is not agriculture. There is only a small portion of the business that is agriculture. She stated that she understands farmers with equipment and the noise they make. This is a factory and the noise is different. Mr. Christman described the out of state trucks and exhaust with the jake brakes.

Amos Jay Yoder, 7125 W. 1000 South, addressed the Board. He discussed the engines on the grinder and the generator. He stated that he was also willing to pay for tree to be planted on the left-hand side of the Christman's driveway.

Ms. Sheiss asked for clarification that sawmill, lumber products, and lumber yard uses were special exception uses in the AG district of the zoning code. Mr. Bilger confirmed that they are listed as uses in the AG district.

Mr. Bilger discussed section 5.6 for buffer yard standards and screening trees.

Discussion was made regarding "no jake brake" signs being installed.

Discussion was made about the petitioner coming back before the Board at the October meeting to give an update on the progress of addressing the concerns.

Conditions of approval were discussed including additional insulation, tree screening, and outdoor storage.

Mr. Christman, 7900 W. 1000 South, addressed the board and stated the noise of the grinder and generator are the noise issues, and that the screening should be placed where it was shown on the original site plan.

Further discussion was made about the location of the tree screening.

Ms. Sheiss made a motion to approve petition 24-W-SE-11 with the following conditions.

- 1. The Special Exception is granted for the applicant and is non-transferable without Board approval.
- 2. The Special Exception is granted as presented and per the site plan.
- 3. Hours of operation are limited to 6 A.M. to 6 P.M., Monday-Friday, and 6 A.M to noon, Saturday.
- 4. Appropriate tree screening of the property, in accordance with Section 5.6 of the zoning code, is completed within 6 months.
- 5. Trucks are not to use the county road for backing or maneuvering to the loading docks. Such movements must usually occur on-site.
- 6. The use must have ongoing compliance with building and fire codes.
- 7. The petitioner returns to report progress to the Board of Zoning Appeals at the October 2024 meeting.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Green. The motion passed by a vote of 5-0.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Bilger discussed the enforcement process regrading 24-W-REZ-3 which was a request to rezone a property from AG to PR for operation of an outdoor commercial shooting range. He explained that the rezoning petition was denied and that a suggestion was made to the Plan Commission from the Commissioners to address shooting ranges in the AG district. He explained the current status is that it is an unpermitted use. However, staff has not pursued strict enforcement of the use given the directive to create a path to compliance in the code. The estimated time for the code amendment is 3-5 months. He stated that the Plan Commission requested that the BZA pursue cease-and-desist as a standard rezoning denial and use could resume upon adoption of code amendments and receipt of approval. He clarified that the BZA would need to decide what the appropriate enforcement action. He stated that the Plan Commission stated public safety as the major concern. Process and procedure were also discussed.

Ms. Sheiss asked for clarification on the request from the Plan Commission, site visits, and facts collected for the safety concerns. Discussion was made about other ranges in the county. Further discussion was made about the operation, safety, and the need for the code change. Ms. Deckard discussed legal liability regarding the operation. Options of enforcement were discussed.

Ms. Sheiss made a motion to delay enforcement action for ninety days and review at the October BZA meeting. Seconded by Mr. Wright. The motion passed by a vote of 5-0.

Mr. Bilger discussed the next training session in South Whitley.

ADJOURNMENT

Having no other business, Mr. Wilkinson adjourned the meeting at 11:30 P.M.

GUEST LIST

1.	Aaron Schwartz	7125 W. 1000 South
2.	Amos Jay Yoder	7579 W. 1000 South
3.	Arlene Yoder	7579 W. 1000 South
4.	Mike Merritt	6665 W. 1000 South
5.	Dale Nettrouer	3899 S. 400 West
6.	Shad Nettrouer	3899 S. 400 West
7.	Daniel Schwartz	6182 W. 1000 South
8.	Jared Sparks	7141 S. 700 East
9.	Heather Sparks	7141 S. 700 East
	Cinda Sparks	
11.	Jennifer Esterline	8324 W. State Road 14
12.	Jason Esterline	8324 W. State Road 14
13. Eric Hackworth		6105 S. 950 West
14. Sarah Maynard		3754 S. 300 West

15. Aaron Suozzi	3754 S. 300 West
16. Paul Scheffler	4680 W. 500 North
17. Dave Rupley	10630 S. State Road 5
18. Jason Huguenard	
19. Yesenia Huguenard	1953 W. State Road 14
20. Tom Smith	
21. Shawn Pierce	2367 W. 700 North
22. Stephanie Pierce	2367 W. 700 North
23. Bob Terhaar	
24. Charlotte Terhaar	8325 W. State Road 14
25. Ryan Peppler	3490 N. Etna Road
26. Cristina Rider	
27. Gary Christman	7600 W. 1000 South
28. Patty Christman	
29. Nathan Lundy	119 S. Main Street
30. Sonya Emerick	5865 E. State Road 14
31. Bill Leeuw	8449 S. 350 West
32. Diane Rice	5141 E. 700 South
33. Kjell Rosenberg	
34. Susan Rosenberg	8871 Harvey Road
35. Vernon Myers	1718 Longwood Ct. Goshen

GUEST LIST – ELECTRONIC

Thomas Behm114 E. Hanna Street